

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the Final Office Action mailed February 15, 2006. By this Amendment, claims 6 and 8-10 have been amended to overcome the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, and to more particularly define the present invention. Further, claim 28 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer as to its underlying subject matter. Support for the claim amendments can be found variously throughout the specification and the original claims. Thus, claim 6 and 8-10 are pending in this application.

Entry of this Amendment is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendment: (a) places the application in condition for allowance (for the reasons discussed herein); (b) does not raise any new issues requiring further search and/or consideration; (c) satisfies a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; and (d) places the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. The amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented because it is made in response to arguments raised in the final rejection. Entry of this amendment is respectfully requested. Reexamination and reconsideration in light of the above amendments and the following remarks are respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. However, in order to expedite the allowance of the present application, claims 6 and 8-10 have been amended, and claim 28 has been canceled.

The Applicant has amended claim 6 to delete the phrase "*R³ forms -CH₂CH₂-CR⁶R⁷- with R¹, or forms with R⁴ a naphthalene ring including as a part thereof the benzene moiety of the formula (10); R⁴ forms with R³ a naphthalene ring including as a part thereof the benzene moiety of the formula (10); and R⁵ forms -CH₂CH₂-CR⁸R⁹- with R²*" which is the basis of the Examiner's rejection of claim 6. The amendment to claim 6 further inserts, in place of the deleted phrase, "*and R⁴ is a hydrogen atom.*"

The Applicant has amended claim 8 to delete the phrase "*hydrogen atom,*" which is the basis of the Examiner's rejection of claim 8.

The Applicant has also amended claims 9 and 10 to remove all instances of the phrase "*including as part of thereof,*" which is the basis of the Examiner's rejection of claims 9

and 10. In order to clarify the subject matter claimed, the Applicant has replace the deleted phrases with the phrase “*wherein the benzene moiety of the formula*”... “*is part of the naphthalene ring.*”


No new matter has been added. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 6 and 8-10 is therefore courteously solicited.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, all of the claims now pending in the present application are believed to be clearly patentable over the outstanding rejection. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of the claims in light of the above remarks is courteously solicited. If the Examiner has any comments or suggestions that could place this application in even better form, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the below-listed number.

Dated: May 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
David T. Nikaido
Registration No.: 22,663

Lee Cheng
Registration No.: 40,949

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
1233 20th Street, N.W.
Suite 501
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-3750
Attorneys for Applicant

Should additional fees be necessary in connection with the filing of this paper, or if a petition for extension of time is required for timely acceptance of same, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 180013 for any such fees; and applicant(s) hereby petition for any needed extension of time.